arXiv:1303.0074v1 [math.GT] 1 Mar 2013

EICHLER-SHIMURA ISOMORPHISM FOR COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC LATTICES

INKANG KIM AND GENKAI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the cohomology group $H^1(\Gamma, G, \rho)$ of a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G = SU(n,1)$ and the symmetric tensor representation ρ on $S^k\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. We give an elementary proof of the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism that harmonic forms $H^1(\Gamma, G, K, \rho)$ are $(0,1)$-forms for the automorphic holomorphic bundle induced by the representation $S^k\mathbb{C}^n$ of K.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B be the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ considered as the Hermitian symmetric space $B = G/K$ of $G = SU(n,1)$, $n > 1$. Let Γ be a cocompact torsion free discrete subgroup of G and ρ a finite dimensional representation of G, and $X = \Gamma\backslash B$. The representation ρ of G defines also one for $\Gamma \subset G$. The first cohomology $H^1(\Gamma, G, \rho)$ is of substancial interests and appears naturally in the study of infinitesimal deformation of Γ in a bigger group $G' \supset G$; see [4, 3, 1]. It is a classical result of Ragnunathan [7] that the cohomology group $H^1(\Gamma, G, \rho)$ vanishes except when $\rho = \rho_k$ is the symmetric tensor $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ (or ρ'_k on $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})'$). In a recent work [4] it is proved that realizing $H^1(\Gamma, B, \rho)$ as harmonic forms, it consists of $(0,1)$ forms for the symmetric tensor of the holomorphic tangent bundle of $X = \Gamma\backslash B$. The proof in [4] uses a Hodge vanishing theorem and the Koszul complex. In the present paper we shall give a rather elementary proof of the result. We will prove that any harmonic form with values in $S^m(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ is $(0,1)$-form taking values in $S^m(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Let $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ be the line bundle on X defined so that $\mathcal{L}^{-(n+1)}$ is the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{K}$. More precisely we shall prove the following, the notations being explained in §2,

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a torsion free subgroup of G acting properly discontinuously on B.*

(1) *Let $\alpha \in A^1(\Gamma, B, \rho_m)$ be a harmonic form. Then α is a $(0,1)$ form on $\Gamma\backslash B$ with values in the symmetric tensor $S^mTX \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-m}$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle TX.*

(2) *Let $\alpha \in A^1(\Gamma, B, \rho'_m)$ be a harmonic form. Then α is a $(1,0)$-form on $\Gamma\backslash B$ with values in the symmetric tensor $S^mT'X$ of the holomorphic cotangent bundle TX*

Research partially supported by STINT-NRF grant (2011-0031291). Research by G. Zhang is supported partially by the Swedish Science Council (VR). I. Kim gratefully acknowledges the partial support of NRF grant (2010-0024171) and a warm support of Chalmers University of Technology during his stay.

and α is symmetric in all $m+1$ variables. In particular α is naturally identified with a section of the bundle $S^{m+1}T'X \otimes \mathcal{L}^m$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let Γ be as above and assume that $\Gamma\backslash B$ is compact then we have*

$$H^1(\Gamma, \rho_m) = H^1(\Gamma\backslash B, S^m TX \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-m}), \quad H^1(\Gamma, \rho_m') = H^0(\Gamma\backslash B, S^{m+1}T'X \otimes \mathcal{L}^m),$$

where the cohomology on the right hand side are the Dolbeault cohomology of $\bar\partial$-closed $(0,1)$ forms of the holomorphic vector bundles.

The case $n = 1$, namely a Riemann surface $\Gamma\backslash B$, is slightly different. In that case the group cohomology $H^1(\Gamma, \rho_{2j})$ of the $2j$-th power of the defining representation of $\Gamma \subset SU(1,1)$ will have both holomorphic and antiholomorphic components, $H^{(1,0)}(\Gamma, \rho_{2j})$, $H^{(0,1)}(\Gamma, \rho_{2j})$, the holomorphic part $H^{(1,0)}(\Gamma, \rho_{2j})$ corresponds to

$$H^{(1,0)}(\Gamma, \rho_{2j}) = H^{(1,0)}(\Gamma\backslash B, \mathcal{K}^{j+1}) = H^0(\Gamma\backslash B, \mathcal{K}^{j+1})$$

of the tensor power of the canonical line bunde. This is known as the Eichler-Shimura correspondence; see [9, THÉORÈME 1] where a concrete construction was given. We can also follow our proof and get an elementary proof of this result; see Remark 3.8.

Our proof is a bit tricky but it is still very akin to the variation of Hodge structures; conceptionally we are treating explicitly the filtration of holomorphic bundles defined by the central action of K. It is stated in [4] that the results can be derived from the work of Deligne and Zucker [12, 13]. We note here that results of this type that $(0,q)$-forms in the group cohomology $H^q(\Gamma, B, \rho)$ are actually $(0,q)$-forms for a corresponding automorphic bundle have been obtained much earlier by Matsushima and Murakami [5, 6], and presumalby one can prove the above result by combining the results of [5, 6] and by proving certain vanishing theorem of $(r, p-s)$-forms in $H^p(\Gamma, G, \rho)$. But our method is down-to-earth hence we expect that our method can apply to various situations. We will investigate further applications in a near future.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be equipped with the Hermitian inner product (Jv, v) of signature $(n,1)$, where J is the diagonal matrix $J = \operatorname{diag}(1, \cdots, 1, -1)$ and (v,v) the Euclidean form in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. We write $V = V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{n+1}$ with V_1 being the Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with an orthonormal basis $\{e_k, k = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $G = SU(n,1)$ be the group of linear transformations on V preserving the Hermitian form. The maximal compact subgroup of G is

$$K = \{\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}; A \in U(n),\, e^{i\theta}\det A = 1\} = U(n),$$

the identification with $U(n)$ being the natural one. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(n,1)$ consists of matrices X such that $X^*J + JX = 0$. The symmetric space G/K can be realized as the unit ball B in $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^n$, $B = G/K$ with $x_0 = 0$ being the base point. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the subspace $\mathfrak{p} = \{\xi_v; v \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ with

$$\xi_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ \bar{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The tangent space $T_{x_0}(B)$ at x_0 will be identified with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{C}^n$ as real spaces.

The center of the maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$ is

$$H_0 = (n+1)^{-1}\sqrt{-1}\text{diag}(1, \cdots, 1, -n),$$

which defines the complex structure on B, and we have

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n+1) = \mathfrak{sl}(n) + \mathbb{C}H_0 + \mathfrak{p}^+ + \mathfrak{p}^-.$$

Then the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic tangent space $\mathfrak{p}^\pm$ consists of upper triangular, respectively lower triangular matrices. We denote

$$\xi_v^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_v - i\xi_{iv}) = \xi_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p}^+, \ \xi_v^- = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_v + i\xi_{iv}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \bar{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p}^-, \tag{2.1}$$

the $\mathbb{C}$- and $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear components of ξ_v.

Let $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^n$ be the defining representation and $\det(A)$ the determinant representation of $U(n)$. We take the diagonal elements as Cartan algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and the upper triangular matrices as positive root vectors. Denote $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{n-1}$ the fundamental representations of $U(n)$, so that $\omega_1 = V_1$ is the defining representation above and ω_{n-1} the dual representation.

As complex representation of $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ we have

$$\mathfrak{p}^+ = \omega_1 \otimes \det = V_1 \otimes \det, \ \mathfrak{p}^- = \omega_{n-1} \otimes \det^{-1}.$$

This entails that, for $A \in U(n)$,

$$A(\xi_{v_1}^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{v_n}^+) = (\det A)^n A\xi_{v_1}^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge A\xi_{v_n}^+ = (\det A)^{n+1}(\xi_{v_1}^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{v_n}^+).$$

Hence

$$K_X^{-1} = \wedge^n \mathfrak{p}^+ = (\det)^{n+1} \tag{2.2}$$

and $\mathcal{L} = \det$.

We shall just identify $\mathfrak{p}^+$ with V_1, $\mathfrak{p}^+ = V_1$, when the center action of $U(n)$ is irrelevant.

The defining representation V of $\mathfrak{l}$ under $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ is

$$V = V_1 + \det^{-1}$$

We shall consider its symmetric representation $(S^m(V), \rho_m)$ of G and $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that we have

$$W = S^m(V) = \oplus_{k=0}^m W_k = \oplus_{k=0}^m S^k(V_1) \otimes e_{n+1}^{m-k}, \tag{2.3}$$

and we make the identification of the spaces

$$W_k = S^k(V_1) \otimes e_{n+1}^{m-k} = S^k(V_1)$$

whenever the factor e_{n+1}^{m-k} is irrelevant.

Note that the Euclidean inner product on V induces one on $W = S^m(V)$ and the above decomposition is an orthogonal decomposition. Note also that action of $\rho_m(X)$ is Hermitian for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ and skew Hermitian for $X \in \mathfrak{k}$.

A representation of G on a finite dimensional complex vector space defines also a vector bundle over the quotient space $\Gamma\backslash B$ and we recall briefly its construction. Let (W, ρ) be a finite dimensional representation of G on a complex vector space W. Eventually we shall only consider $W = S^k(V)$ as above and its dual $S^k(V')$. We fix on W a positive definite Hermitian form so that K acts unitarily. Let Γ be a torsion free discrete subgroup of G. The restriction of ρ on Γ will also be written as ρ. Suppose Γ acts properly discontinuously on B. We recall the known construction of vector bundle E_ρ on $\Gamma\backslash B$, following the exposition [8] and also some notations there. Let $\Gamma \times K$ acts on $G \times W$ by $(\gamma, k)(g, w) := (\gamma g k^{-1}, \rho(\gamma) w)$. Then $E_p = G \times W/\Gamma \times K$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on $\Gamma\backslash B$. The de Rham operator d is well-defined on E_p and we let $\Delta_\rho = dd^* + d^*d$ be the corresponding Hodge Laplacian operator on space of p-forms on E_p. We choose its standard realizations as W-valued p-forms on G as follows. Let $A^p(\Gamma, B, \rho)$ be the space of W-valued p-forms α on G satisfying

(a): $\alpha(\gamma g) = \alpha(g), \gamma \in \Gamma.$
(b): $\rho(k)\alpha(gk^{-1}) = \alpha(g), \quad k \in K.$
(c): $\iota(Y)\alpha = 0, Y \in \mathfrak{k}.$

Here $\iota(Y)$ is the pairing of $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ as left-invariant vector fields on G (by differentiation from right) with a p-form α on G, $\iota(Y)\alpha(Z_1, \cdots, Z_{p-1}) = \alpha(Y, Z_1, \cdots, Z_{p-1})$. Equivalently it can be realized as p-forms on $\Gamma\backslash G$ satisfying $(b) - (c)$ above. With some abuse of notation we denote Δ_ρ the corresponding Hodge Laplacian on $A^p(\Gamma, B, \rho)$.

We shall also need the automorphic bundle defined by representations of K. So let (V, τ) be a representation of the complexification of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and we fix as above a Hermitian inner product on V so that K acts unitarily. The group $\Gamma \times K$ acts on $G \times V$ by $(\gamma, k)(g, w) = (\gamma g k^{-1}, \tau(k) w)$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\rho_1} = \Gamma \times K \backslash G \times V$ defines a holomorphic vector bundle over $\Gamma\backslash B$. The p-forms on the vector bundle can be realized as the space $\mathcal{A}^p(\Gamma, B, \tau)$ (again with some abuse of notation) of p-forms on $\Gamma\backslash G$ satisfying

(b'): $\tau(k)\alpha(gk^{-1}) = \alpha(g), \quad k \in K.$
(c'): $\iota(Y)\alpha = 0, Y \in \mathfrak{k}.$

3. THE EICHLER-SHIMURA ISOMORPHISM

As indicated in [3, 4] the part (2) of our theorem is a consequence of (1), so we shall only prove (1).

For any real linear map $A : \mathfrak{p} \to W$ from $\mathfrak{p}$ to any complex vector space W we let

$$A^+(\xi_v) = \frac{1}{2}(A(\xi_v) - iA(\xi_{iv})), \quad A^-(\xi_v) = \frac{1}{2}(A(\xi_v) + iA(\xi_{iv}))$$

be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear and respectively $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear components. In particular for any complex representation (W, ρ) of G and $\mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$\rho^{\pm}(\xi_v) = \rho(\xi_v^{\pm}),$$

where $\xi_v^{\pm}$ are defined in (2.1). Let now $\rho = \rho_m$ be the representation $S^m(V)$ and ρ^m the dual representation $S^m(V')$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. We start now with a few simple observations formulated only $\rho = \rho_m$; the corresponding ones hold for ρ^m.

Denote by

$$P_k : W \to W_k = S^k(V_1) \otimes e_{n+1}^{m-k}$$

the orthogonal projection onto the component W_k in (2.3), and write

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k$$

the corresponding decomposition for $\alpha \in W = \sum_{k=0}^{m} W_m$.

Let $\{X_j\}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ viewed as tangent vectors on $\Gamma\backslash G$ at a fixed point Γg and $\{e_j\}$ be the corresponding orthonormal basis of V_1. An arbitrary vector in $\{X_j\}$ will be written as Y_i. Let $T = T_\rho$ and $T^* = T^*_\rho$ be the operator defind on $A^1(\Gamma, B, \rho)$ as follows.

$$T\alpha(Y_1, Y_2) = \rho(Y_1)\alpha(Y_2) - \rho(Y_2)\alpha(Y_2)$$

$$T^*\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho(X_j)\alpha(X_j)$$

We recall the following result [8, Corollary 7.50]

Proposition 3.1. *Suppse $\alpha \in A^1_0(\Gamma, B, \rho)$ is harmonic, $\Delta_\rho\alpha = 0$. Then $T_\rho\alpha = 0$ and $T^*_\rho\alpha = 0$.*

This can be restated as the following (which is also proved in [3] for $k = 2$ by using matrix computations).

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose $\alpha \in A^1_0(\Gamma, B, \rho)$ satisfies $T_\rho\alpha = 0$ and $T^*_\rho\alpha = 0$. Then the W-valued $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear form $(X, Y) \mapsto \rho(X)\alpha(Y)$ is symmetric*

$$\rho(\xi_v)\alpha(\xi_u) = \rho(\xi_u)\alpha(\xi_v), \tag{3.1}$$

and trace free

$$\sum_j (\rho(\xi_{e_j})\alpha(\xi_{e_j}) + \rho(\xi_{ie_j})\alpha(\xi_{ie_j})) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Our theorem will be an easy consequence of the following proposition, whose proof is based on a few elementary lemmas.

Proposition 3.3. (1) *Suppose $\alpha \in Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{p}, W)$ satisfies $T_\rho\alpha = T^*_\rho\alpha = 0$. Then α is $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear and takes value in $W_m = S^m V_1$, that is, $\alpha = \alpha_m = \alpha_m^- \in Hom_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, W_m)$.*

(2) *Suppose* $\alpha \in Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{p}, W')$ *satisfies* $T_{\rho'}\alpha = T^*_{\rho'}\alpha = 0$. *Then* α *is* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear and takes value in* $S^m(V_1')$*, Moreover as an element in* $(\mathfrak{p}^+)' \otimes S^m(V_1') = (V_1)' \otimes S^m(V_1')$*, it is symmetric in all variables, i.e., an element in* $S^{m+1}(V_1')$*, the leading component in* $(V_1)' \otimes S^m(V_1')$*.*

Denote $u^i v^{j-i}$ the symmetric tensor power of u and v normalized by

$$(u+v)^j = \otimes^j (u+v) = \sum_{i=0}^{j} \binom{j}{i} u^i v^{j-i}.$$

Note that the representation $\rho = \rho_m$ is the symmetric tensor $S^m(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ throughout the paper.

Lemma 3.4. (1) *Let* $1 \leq k \leq m-1$*. Then for any* $0 \neq \xi_v \in \mathfrak{p}$*,*

$$\rho(\xi_v) : W_k \to W_{k+1} + W_{k-1},\ \rho(\xi_v^+) : W_k \to W_{k+1},\ \rho(\xi_v^-) : W_k \to W_{k-1},$$

and on each space it is nonzero. Moreover if $w \in W_k$ *and* $\rho(\xi_v^+)w = 0$ *or* $\rho(\xi_v^-)w = 0$ *for all* $\xi_v^\pm \in \mathfrak{p}^\pm$ *then* $w = 0$*.*

(2) *The restriction* $\rho(\xi_v)|_{W_m} : W_m \to W_{m-1}$ *on the top component* W_m *of* W *is* $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$*-linear in* ξ_v*,* $\rho(\xi_v)|_{W_m} = \rho^-(\xi_v)|_{W_m}$*, and* $\rho(\xi_v)_{W_0}$ *on the bottom component is* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear in* ξ_v*,* $\rho(\xi_v)_{W_0} = \rho^+(\xi_v)_{W_0}$*.*

Proof. The defining representation ρ_1 is just the matrix multiplication and we have $\rho_1(\xi_v)u = \langle u, v\rangle e_{n+1}$ for $u \in V_1$, and $\rho_1(\xi_v)e_{n+1} = v$. Thus $\rho_1(\xi_v^+)u = 0$, $\rho_1(\xi_v^-)u = \langle u, v\rangle e_{n+1}$, $\rho_1(\xi_v)e_{n+1} = v$, and $\rho_1(\xi_v^+)e_{n+1} = v$, $\rho_1(\xi_v^-)e_{n+1} = 0$. Taking the tensor power we find

$$\rho(\xi_v^+)e_{n+1}^k = kve_{n+1}^{k-1}, \quad \rho(\xi_v^-)e_j^k = k\overline{v_j}e_{n+1}e_j^{k-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

which are non-zero if $v_j \neq 0$. First note that

$$k\rho^\pm(\xi_v)k^{-1} = \rho^\pm(\xi_{kv}), \quad k \in K, v \in V_1.$$

If $\rho(\xi_v^\pm)w = 0$ for all $\xi_v^\pm \in \mathfrak{p}^\pm$ and for a fixed $w \neq 0$, then

$$k\rho(\xi_v^\pm)k^{-1}w = \rho(\xi_{kv}^\pm)w = 0$$

for all $k \in K$. Hence it is zero for all $\rho(k^{-1})w$, and therefore zero for $w = e_j^k, j = 1, \cdots, n$, contradicting the previous claim. □

The space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, W_j)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear forms β on $\mathfrak{p}^-$, $\beta = \beta^-$ will be identified with the tensor product $(\mathfrak{p}^-)' \otimes W_j$. Recall [11] that the tensor product is decomposed under K as

(3.3)

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, W_j) = (\mathfrak{p}^-)' \otimes S^j(V_1) \otimes e_{n+1}^{m-j} \equiv (S^{j+1}(V_1) \otimes e_{n+1}^{m-j}) \oplus (S^{j-1,1}(V_1) \otimes e_{n+1}^{m-j})$$

with the corresponing highest weights

$$\omega_1 \otimes j\omega_1 = (j+1)\omega_1 + ((j-1)\omega_1 + \omega_2).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose* $\beta = \beta^+$ *is* $S^m(V_1')$*-valued* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear form on* $\mathfrak{p}^+$*. If* $\rho(\xi_u^+)\beta(\xi_v^+) = \rho(\xi_v^+)\beta(\xi_u^+)$ *then* β *as an element in* $(\mathfrak{p}^+)' \otimes S^m(V_1')$ *is symmetric in all* $m+1$ *variables.*

Proof. The statement is equivalent to that $\beta(\xi_v^+)(\xi_u^+, \xi_{v_1}^+, \cdots, \xi_{v_{m-1}}^+)$ is symmetric in all $m+1$ variables. However the equality $\rho(\xi_u^+)\beta(\xi_v^+) = \rho(\xi_v^+)\beta(\xi_u^+)$ implies that it is symmetric in the first two variables and thus is symmetric in all $m+1$ variables. More precisely, viewing $\rho(\xi_u^+)\beta(\xi_v^+)$ and $\rho(\xi_v^+)\beta(\xi_u^+)$ as elements in $S^m(V')$,

$$\rho(\xi_u^+)\beta(\xi_v^+)(e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+1}) = \beta(\xi_v^+)(\rho(\xi_u^+)e_{n+1}, \cdots, \rho(\xi_u^+)e_{n+1})$$

$$= \rho(\xi_v^+)\beta(\xi_u^+)(e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{n+1}) = \beta(\xi_u^+)(\rho(\xi_v^+)e_{n+1}, \cdots, \rho(\xi_v^+)e_{n+1}).$$

Hence from $\rho(\xi_u^+)e_{n+1} = u$ and $\rho(\xi_v^+)e_{n+1} = v$ and identifying $\mathfrak{p}^+ = V_1$, we get

$$\beta(\xi_v^+)(\xi_u^+, \cdots, \xi_u^+) = \beta(\xi_u^+)(\xi_v^+, \cdots, \xi_v^+).$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *If* $\rho^-(\xi_u)\beta^-(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\beta^-(\xi_u)$ *then* β *is in the first component* $S^{j+1}(V_1)$ *in the above decomposition (3.3).*

Proof. Note that the relation $\rho^-(\xi_u)\beta^-(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\beta^-(\xi_u)$ is invariant under the K-action, since

$$\rho(k)\rho^{\pm}(\xi_v)\rho(k^{-1}) = \rho^{\pm}(\xi_{kv}), \quad k \in K, v \in V_1$$

and

$$\rho(k)\beta(gk^{-1}) = \beta(g)$$

for all $k \in K$, which results in

$$\rho(k)\rho^{\pm}(\xi_v)\beta(gk^{-1}) = \rho^{\pm}(\xi_{kv})\beta(g).$$

Thus if β^- satisfies the relation so is its component in $((j-1)\omega_1 + \omega_2)$. We prove any element in $((j-1)\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ satisfying the relation must be zero. This space is an irreducible representation of K we need only to check the relation for its highest weight vector. The highest weight vector of $((j-1)\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ in $V_1 \otimes S^j(V_1)$ is

$$\beta = \epsilon_2 \otimes e_1^j - \epsilon_1 \otimes (e_1^{j-1}e_2)$$

where ϵ_i is a dual vector to $\xi_{e_i}^-$ in $\mathfrak{p}^-$. We check the relation

$$\rho^-(\xi_{e_2})\beta(\xi_{e_1}) = \rho^-(\xi_{e_1})\beta(\xi_{e_2}).$$

The left hand side is $-e_1^{j-1}e_{n+1}$ whereas the right hand side is $je_1^{j-1}e_{n+1}$, and the relation is not satisfied. □

For simplicity we denote $\xi_j = \xi_{e_j}$ where $\{e_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis of V_1. Observe that for any $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, W_j)$ we have

$$\rho(\xi_v^+)\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, W_{j+1}).$$

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose* $1 \le j < m$. *The map*

$$T : Hom_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, W_j) \equiv (j+1)\omega_1 \oplus ((j-1)\omega_1 + \omega_2) \to W_{j+1}, \quad \beta \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho(\xi_k^+)\beta(\xi_k^-)$$

is up to non-zero constant an isometry on the space $(j+1)\omega_1$.

Proof. It is clear that T is a K-intertwining map from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{p}^-, S^j(V_1))$ into W_{j+1}. By Schur's lemma it's either zero or an isometry up to non-zero constant on the irreducible space $(j+1)\omega_1$. To find the constant we take $\beta = \varepsilon_1 \otimes e_1^j e_{n+1}^{m-j}$ where ε_1 is the dual form of $\xi_{e_1}^-$. It is indeed in the first component $(j+1)\omega_1$ and is actually the highest weight vector. Then by direct computation we find

$$T\beta = (m-j)e_1^{j+1}e_{n+1}^{m-j-1},$$

which is nonzero. ☐

We prove now Proposition 3.3.

Proof. We shall prove by induction that all $\alpha_j = 0$ for $k \le m-1$. Let $1 \le k \le m-1$. Taking the k-th component of (3.1) we get

$$\rho^+(\xi_v)\alpha_{k-1}^+(\xi_u) = \rho^+(\xi_v)\alpha_{k-1}^+(\xi_u), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\rho^-(\xi_u)\alpha_{k+1}^-(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_{k+1}^-(\xi_u), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\rho^+(\xi_u)\alpha_{k-1}^-(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_{k+1}^+(\xi_u). \tag{3.6}$$

We prove first that $\alpha_0 = 0$. Consider the 1-component of the identity

$$T_\rho^*\alpha = \sum_j \left(\rho(\xi_{e_j})\alpha(\xi_{e_j}) + \rho(\xi_{ie_j})\alpha(\xi_{ie_j})\right) = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

and write each term in terms of their $\mathbb{C}$-linear and $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear parts. Note that bilinear $\mathbb{C}$-linear and bilinear $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear terms have their sum zero. Also on the component W_0 the action $\rho(\xi_u) = \rho(\xi_u^+)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, by Lemma 3.4. Thus

$$\sum_j \left(\rho^+(\xi_{e_j})\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_j}) + \rho^-(\xi_{e_j})\alpha_2^+(\xi_{e_j})\right) = 0.$$

But by the equality of (3.6) for $k = 1$ we have $\rho(\xi_{e_j}^-)\alpha_2^+(\xi_{e_j}) = \rho(\xi_{e_j}^+)\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_j})$. Namely

$$2\sum_j \rho(\xi_{e_j}^+)\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_j}) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Taking inner product with $e_1 e_{n+1}^{m-1} \in W_1$, and using the fact that

$$\langle \rho(\xi_{e_1}^+)\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_1}), e_1 e_{n+1}^{m-1}\rangle = \langle \alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_1}), \rho(\xi_{e_1}^-)(e_1 e_{n+1}^{m-1})\rangle = \langle \alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_1}), e_{n+1}^m\rangle$$

and

$$\langle \rho(\xi_{e_j}^+)\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_j}), e_1 e_{n+1}^{m-1}\rangle = \langle \alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_j}), \rho(\xi_{e_j}^-)(e_1 e_{n+1}^{m-1})\rangle = 0, j \ne 1,$$

we see that $\langle \alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_1}), e_{n+1}^m\rangle = 0$, namely $\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_1}) = 0$. By the K-invariance of above relation (3.8) we may replace e_1 by any e_j, and get $\alpha_0^-(\xi_{e_j}) = 0$, i.e., $\alpha_0^- = 0$ and α_0

is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0^+$. Now $W_0 = \mathbb{C}e_{n+1}^m$ is one-dimensional and α_0 is thus of the form $\alpha_0(\xi_u) = \langle u, u_0\rangle e_{n+1}^m$ for some $u_0 \in V_1$. Now the relation (3.4) implies that

$$\langle u, u_0\rangle v e_{n+1}^{m-1} = \langle v, u_0\rangle u e_{n+1}^{m-1}$$

for all $u, v \in V_1$. This is impossible unless $u_0 = 0$ since $\dim V_1 > 1$, i.e., $\alpha_0 = 0$.

Taking the 0-th component of the equality $\rho(\xi_u)\alpha(\xi_v) = \rho(\xi_v)\alpha(\xi_u)$ we get

$$\rho^-(\xi_u)\alpha_1(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_1(\xi_u).$$

Changing v to iv we find

$$\rho^-(\xi_u)\alpha_1(\xi_{iv}) = -i\rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_1(\xi_u).$$

Summing the two results in

$$\rho^-(\xi_u)(\alpha_1(\xi_{iv}) + i\alpha_1(\xi_v)) = 0.$$

Taking inner product with $e_{n+1}^m \in W_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle(\rho^-(\xi_u)(\alpha_1(\xi_{iv}) + i\alpha_1(\xi_v)), e_{n+1}^m\rangle = \langle \alpha_1(\xi_{iv}) + i\alpha_1(\xi_v), \rho^+(\xi_u)e_{n+1}^m\rangle \\ &= \langle((\alpha_1(\xi_{iv}) + i\alpha_1(\xi_v)), u e_{n+1}^{m-1}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all u. Thus $\alpha_1(\xi_{iv}) + i\alpha_1(\xi_v) = 0$, namely α_1 is $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$-linear, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1^-$. Furthermore it follows from Lemma 3.6 that α_1 is an element in the component $S^2(V_1)$ in $(\mathfrak{p}^-)' \otimes S^1(V_1)$.

We take now the 0-component of the identity (3.7) using again the fact that α_1 is $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear, and find

$$0 = \sum_j \left(\rho^+(\xi_{e_j})\alpha_1(\xi_{e_j}) + \rho^+(\xi_{ie_j})\alpha_1(\xi_{ie_j})\right) = 2\sum_j \left(\rho^+(\xi_{e_j})\alpha_1(\xi_{e_j}^-)\right).$$

But α_1 is in the component $2\omega_1 = S^2(V_1)$ and Lemma 3.7 implies that $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Using the above procedure succesively we prove then that $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j \leq m-2$. Consequently we have $\alpha_{m-1}^+ = 0$ and $\alpha_{m-1} = \alpha_{m-1}^-$. Taking the trace of $(m-2)$-th component of (3.2) we have again $\sum_j \rho^+(\xi_{e_j})\alpha_{m-1}^-(\xi_{e_j}) = 0$ and $\alpha_{m-1} = 0$ by the same arguments.

Finally we consider the $(m-1)$-th component of the equality $\rho(\xi_u)\alpha(\xi_v) = \rho(\xi_v)\alpha(\xi_u)$ we get

$$\rho^-(\xi_u)\alpha_m(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_m(\xi_u)$$

Replacing u by iu gives

$$-i\rho^-(\xi_u)\alpha_m(\xi_v) = \rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_m(\xi_{iu}).$$

Thus

$$\rho^-(\xi_v)\alpha_m^+(\xi_u) = \frac{1}{2}\rho^-(\xi_v)\left(\alpha_m(\xi_u) - i\alpha_m(\xi_{iu})\right) = 0.$$

This holds for all $\xi_v \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\alpha_m^+(\xi_u) = 0$ by Lemma 3.4, and α_m is $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear. Finally $\alpha_m \in S^{m+1}V_1$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.5. □

We prove now Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

Proof. The statements in Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 3.3. Indeed if $\alpha \in A^1(\Gamma, B, \rho_m)$ then by the conditions in §2 it can be represented locally as a differential harmonic form. But then it will have values in $S^m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by Proposition 3.3. By the relation $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes \det^{-1}$ we have

$$S^m(\mathbb{C}^n) = (\mathfrak{p}^+)^m \otimes (\det)^{-m} = S^m TX \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-m},$$

proving that α is a $(0,1)$-section of $S^m TX \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-m}$. The proof of the second one is similar. The claim that α is $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear is precisely that α is a $(0,1)$-form. This proves the first part, and the second part follows similarly from Proposition 3.3 (2).

Let α be a harmonic form representating an element $H^1(\Gamma, \rho)$. Write $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_k$ according to the decomposition (2.3). It follows then from above that $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k < m$, i.e. $\alpha = \alpha_m$. The isomorphism of $H^1(\Gamma, \rho)$ and $H^1(\Gamma\backslash B, S^m TX \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-m})$ is then a consequence of [5, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 6.1]. The second isomorphism is proved similarly. □

Remark 3.8. In the case of $n = 1$ with Riemann surface $\Sigma = \Gamma\backslash B$, the group cohomology $H^1(\Gamma, \rho_m)$ will not descend to $(0,1)$-form on $\Gamma\backslash B$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the square root (constructed using the action of K, $B = G/K$) of the tangent bundle. Consider for simplicity $m = 2j$. It has a decomposition as $H^1(\Gamma, \rho_m) = H^{(1,0)}(\Gamma, \rho_m) + H^{(0,1)}(\Gamma, \rho_m)$, and two components are dual to each other with $H^{(0,1)}(\Gamma, \rho_{2j}) = H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{K}^{-j})$. This can also be derived from our computations above. Indeed in the proof of Proposition 3.3 we take α an element in $H^{(0,1)}(\Gamma, \rho_m)$, i.e., $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$-linear form, and we can then derive from the same arguments that all components except α_{2j} are zero, which is equivalent to that $\alpha = \alpha_{2j}$ is a $(0,1)$-section of $\mathcal{K}^{-j}$. That is $H^{(0,1)}(\Gamma, \rho_m) = H^{(0,1)}(\Gamma\backslash B, \mathcal{K}^{-j})$ with is dual to $H^0(\Gamma\backslash B, \mathcal{K}^{j+1})$ by Serre duality.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Goldman and J. Millson, *Local rigidity of discrete groups acting on complex hyperbolic space,* Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 495-520.

[2] I. Kim and P. Pansu, *Local Rigidity in quaternionic hyperbolic space,* Journal of European Math Society, **11** (2009), no 6, 1141-1164.

[3] I. Kim, B. Klingler and P. Pansu, *Local quaternionic rigidity for complex hyperbolic lattices,* J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **11** (2012), no. 1, 133-159

[4] B. Klingler, *Local rigidity for complex hyperbolic lattices and Hodge theory*, Invent. Math., **184** (2011), no.3, 455–498.

[5] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami, *On vector bundle valuedharmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric riemannian manifolds,* Ann. Math. **78** (1963), 329-416.

[6] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami, *On certain cohomology groups attached to Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 1-35.

[7] M. S. Raghunathan, *On the first cohomology of discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 103–139. MR 0173730 (30 #3940)

[8] ______, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68. MR 0507234 (58 #22394a)

[9] G. Shimura, *Sur les intégrales attachées aux formes automorphes* J. Math. Soc. Japan, **11** (1959), 291–311.

[10] A. Weil, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups,* II, Ann. of Math **75** (1962), 97-123.

[11] D.P. Zelobenko, Compact Lie groups and their representations, Transl. Math. Monographs, 40, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, Rhode Island, 1973.

[12] S. Zucker, *Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients. L_2 cohomology in the Poincare metric.* Ann. Math. (2) **109** (1979), 415-476.

[13] S. Zucker, *Locally homogeneous variatins of Hodge structure.* Enseign. Math. (2) **27** (1982), 243-276.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KIAS, HEOGIRO 85, DONGDAEMUN-GU SEOUL, 130-722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `inkang@kias.re.kr`

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY, SE-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `genkai@chalmers.se`